## **CA** – Community Awareness

Local Advisor "Event Manager"	
<b>Event Location</b>	Tuesday, March 11 (Please see schedule for time and location)
Personnel	3 judges:
Event Supplies	pens/pencils, calculators for judge, notepads for judge, stopwatch, interval times, flash card with 1 minute remaining, and STOP
Event Supplies Brought by Competitor	<ul> <li>Binder with portfolio for interview with judges</li> <li>Notes on index cards for electronic notecards for interview (optional)</li> <li>2 extra copies of the portfolio to turn in immediately prior to the judge interview</li> </ul>
Registration	Secondary = teams
Dress Code	Official HOSA Uniform or Proper Business Attire
Student Orientation	<ul> <li>Explain the event to the students and how it will work</li> <li>Ask for student questions</li> <li>Distribute appointment times to all teams (9 minute intervals)</li> </ul>
Timing Overview	<ul> <li>5 minute presentation to the judges</li> <li>The judge timekeeper will announce the time when there is one (1) minute remaining in the presentation. The timekeeper will stop the presentation after five (5) total minutes and the team will be excused.</li> <li>Immediately following the presentation, competitors will leave their official portfolio with the judges, and will be excused.</li> </ul>
Event Overview	<ul> <li>4 minutes for judges to rate the portfolio and complete rating sheet after students leave</li> <li>Community Awareness is a service project designed to raise community awareness of a health-related issue, need, or concern. The project is selected by the HOSA chapter. Activities are then planned to make the community aware of the issue. The chapter documents each activity as it is planned, conducted, and evaluated. When the project is complete, the chapter develops a portfolio that documents and explains the project activities. A team of 2-4 competitors presents the chapter's project to a panel of judges in an interview, using the portfolio as documentation of their accomplishments.</li> <li>Competitors shall report to the orientation for the event where they will receive their appointment time</li> <li>At their appointed time, each team will enter the event room and turn in 2 copies of their paper for the judges.</li> <li>Teams have a maximum of 5 minutes for the prepared presentation</li> <li>The timekeeper will present a flash card advising the team of 1 minute remaining for the prepared presentation.</li> <li>The teams will be excused and judges will be given an additional 4 minutes to rate the presentation and portfolio.</li> </ul>
Scoring	After each team leaves the room, judges will complete the rating sheet.

12/2019

## **Special Notes**

- The project should demonstrate success in increasing public awareness of the health-related issue, the HOSA organization and the Health Science Education program.
- The purpose of the presentation is to communicate information about the project to the judges. The presentation MUST include:
  - the purpose for the selection and development of the project;
  - the activities used to promote the project;
  - the accomplishment of goals and objectives of the project;
  - the impact of the project;
  - the evaluation of the success or failures of the project; and
  - the promotion of goodwill and public relations for local HOSA chapters.
- Teams should plan their speaking time to explain to the judges, how successful they were in achieving the bullet points in the above section.
- Teams will refer to their portfolio during the interview. No other materials are permitted (other than digital or hardcopy notecards)

## **Documentation of Project (Portfolio)**

The team's portfolio to be used by the team during judging will be contained in an **official HOSA notebook or portfolio from Awards Unlimited** (NBK150, NBK 250, or PBK2002). The portfolio is limited to a maximum of seven (7) numbered single-sided pages and will contain the following.

- A. Page 1: Title page must include the name of the project, chapter, team member names, school address and state. (Maximum of one page)
- B. Page 2: A summary (Maximum of 1 page) reflecting the selection of the project issue, goals and objectives of the project and accomplishments, effectiveness and impact of the project. The summary should be typed or word processed, double spaced with 12 pt. Arial font and have 1 inch margins.
- C. Pages 3 7: Supporting Documentation. The following items must be included as a part of portfolio documentation section: (Maximum of 5 pages)
  - Documentation of the activities conducted as a part of the community awareness project.
  - Publicity regarding the community awareness project activities, the local HOSA chapter and Health Science or Biomedical Science program, which may include newspaper articles, flyers, website announcements, etc.
  - Programs, pictures or other verification of students presenting the project should be included and dated.
  - The team may include items they developed to support their project such as pamphlets or brochures. If these are included, they must be placed in a binder pocket. This pocket counts as one page, and may contain up to three (3) items of the team's choosing.
- D. Page 8 Reference page (Maximum of 1 page)
- D. Sheet protectors, lamination and page dividers may NOT be used.
- E. Portfolio pages will be evaluated up to and including the maximum pages per section. Pages above the maximum allowance will not be evaluated and no points will be given for information in excess pages.

12/2019 2

## COMMUNITY AWARENESS – Judge's Rating Sheet

Section #	Division: SS PS/Collegiate
Team #	Judge's Signature

A. Community	Awareness					JUDGE SCORE
No partial points are given in Section A.  All seven items MUST be completed to receive 30 points.  If any portion is missing, Section A is scored a 0.  For more information on the all/none points, please visit: http://www.hosa.org/judge	HOS (Binder pock Two (2) plain Reference p Title Page — chapter (nar NO sheet pr A .pdf of the deadline.	A portfolio used, nur dets contain no more in paper copies of pol- age is included in po Event Name, Title o ne/number, and divis otectors, page divide	mbered pages not exc than 3 items – counti rtfolio, matching origir ortfolio f activity, target audie sion), school and state ers or lamination used ded to Tallo (by EACH	ing as 1 of 8 pages) nal portfolio, are subr nce, team member n a/country. One page	nitted. names, HOSA only.	
B. Quality of Portfolio	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 1 point	JUDGE SCORE
1. Page 2 of Portfolio: "Summary"	Summary provides an outstanding reflection of the project issue, goals, and objectives of the project. The accomplishments, effectiveness and impact of the project are clearly defined, thoughtful, and well-executed.	The summary of the	The summary of the project is adequate, an average level of summarization is provided on the accomplishments and effectiveness of the project.	More attention is needed in the summary of the selection of the project issue, goals & objectives.	The summary did not do a sufficient job in reflecting the project issue, goals	
2. Strength of publicity	High-level publicity and exposure helped to tell the story of this project throughout the community in four or more media sources (such as newspaper articles, flyers, etc)	The publicity for this project was promoted in three forms of media.	The project was promoted in two forms of media.	The project received low-level visibility in one form of media.	The project was not promoted in any form of media.	
3. Evidence of student participation	Four or more forms of evidence (such as dated programs, pictures, etc.) were provided to demonstrate widespread student participation.	Three examples of significant student participation were provided in this project.	There are two examples of limited student participation in this project.	There is one form of evidence of little student participation in this project.	There is no evidence of student participation.	

12/2019 3

B. Quality of Portfolio	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 1 point	JUDGE SCORE
Items developed to support project	Four or more high quality items (such as pamphlets, brochures, etc.) were developed to support this project.	Three good quality items were developed to support this project.	Two average quality items were shared to support the development of this project.	One item was developed to support this project and it was not of good quality.	No items were created to support this project.	
5. Spelling, grammar, punctuation, neatness	There are no spelling or grammatical errors throughout the entire portfolio. The portfolio is very neat and presentable.	There are a few minor misspellings or grammatical errors that will be easy to fix to make it appeal to the viewer. The portfolio is neat, with only minor examples where the pages could be better organized.	There is a mix of good spelling and poor spelling or proper grammar and improper grammar. The portfolio is presentable, although some pages appear to be cluttered or busy.	There are either several misspellings or there is very little correct grammar present in the portfolio. Portfolio needs more organization or attention to detail.	There are many misspellings and overall weakness within the portfolio. The portfolio looks unprofessional.	
C. Presentation to Judges: Project and Process	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 1 point	JUDGE SCORE
Purpose for selection/ development of project	A clear purpose for the selection and development of the project was provided to the judges.	The purpose for the selection and development of the project was mostly clear in the presentation to judges.	The purpose for the selection and development of the project was moderately clear in the presentation to judges.	There was some detail provided for the purpose and selection of the project, however more information is needed.	The purpose and development of this project was unclear.	
2. Project promotes community awareness of a health and/or safety issues	Selected project clearly focuses on a health or safety issue of local, state, or national interest.	n/a	n/a	n/a	Selected project does not reflect a health or safety issue.	
3. Objectives/ accomplishments of project	The activities used to promote this project were detailed with clear objectives and several accomplishments were highlighted in the presentation.	The activities used to promote the project were mostly clear; objectives and accomplishments were highlighted.	The objectives and accomplishments of the project were somewhat highlighted in this presentation.	The objectives were somewhat clear, little demonstration of accomplishments were evident in the presentation of the project.	The objectives of the project were not clear and there was little evidence of accomplishments made throughout the presentation of the project.	
4. Project impact	Clear and informative demonstration of the positive impact the project had on the community.	The presentation was mostly clear on the positive impact made on the community.	The project displayed some impact in the community. More detail would have been appreciated.	A small impact on the community was demonstrated in the presentation of this project.	The project did not appear to make a	
5. Evaluation of success/failure of project	The team strongly highlighted the success and failure of the project and had evidence to back up their findings. Excellent reflection of the project.	The team highlighted the success and failure of the project with only some evidence. Good reflection of the project.	The team shared some feedback on the success and/or failure of the project.	The team presented very little on the evaluation process of the project. Few details were provided.	No evidence of evaluation of the project was presented.	
Understanding of problem / health issue	Demonstrates clear evidence of a deep, insightful understanding of the problem or health issue.	Shows a solid grasp of understanding of the problem or health issue.	Demonstrates an average understanding of the problem or health issue. Judges left with a few questions.	Shows a basic understanding of the problem or health issue. Judges left with more questions than answers.	Team is not able to demonstrate an understanding of the problem or health issue.	

12/2019 4

C. Presentation to Judges	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 1 point	JUDGE
7. Cooperative work with community partners	The team provided four or more high quality examples of cooperative work with community partners to promote and reach the project goal.	Three quality examples of cooperative work with community partners to promote and reach the project goal were shared.	and reach the project	One example of cooperative work with a community partner to promote and reach the project goal was provided and it was not of high quality.	No evidence of cooperative work with community partners was provided.	
D. Presentation Delivery	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 1 point	JUDGE SCORE
1. Voice Pitch, tempo, volume, quality	Each speaker's voice was loud enough to hear. The speakers varied rate & volume to enhance the speech. Appropriate pausing was employed.	Each speaker spoke loudly and clearly enough to be understood. The speakers varied rate OR volume to enhance the speech. Pauses were attempted.	Each speaker could be heard most of the time. The speakers	Most of the speaker's voices were low. Judges have difficulty	Judge had difficulty hearing and/or understanding much of the speech due to low volume. Little variety in rate or volume.	
Stage Presence     Poise, posture, eye     contact, and     enthusiasm	Movements & gestures were purposeful and enhanced the delivery of the speech and did not distract. Body language reflects comfort interacting with audience. Facial expressions and body language consistently generated a strong interest and enthusiasm for the topic.	The speakers maintained adequate posture and non-distracting movement during the speech. Some gestures were used. Facial expressions and body language sometimes generated an interest and enthusiasm for the topic.	Stiff or unnatural use of nonverbal behaviors. Body language reflects some discomfort interacting with audience. Limited use of gestures to reinforce verbal message. Facial expressions and body language are used to try to generate enthusiasm but seem somewhat forced.	Most of the speaker's posture, body language, and facial expressions indicated a lack of enthusiasm for the topic. Movements were distracting.	No attempt was made to use body movement or gestures to enhance the message. No interest or enthusiasm for the topic came through in presentation.	
3. Diction*, Pronunciation** & Grammar	Delivery emphasizes and enhances message. Clear enunciation and pronunciation. No vocal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows"). Tone heightened interest and complemented the verbal message.	Delivery helps to enhance message. Clear enunciation and pronunciation. Minimal vocal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you- knows"). Tone complemented the verbal message	Delivery adequate. Enunciation and pronunciation suitable. Noticeable verbal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows") present. Tone seemed inconsistent at times.	Delivery quality minimal. Regular verbal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows") present. Delivery problems cause disruption to message.	Many distracting errors in pronunciation and/or articulation. Monotone or inappropriate variation of vocal characteristics. Inconsistent with verbal message.	
4. Team Participation	Excellent example of shared collaboration in the presentation of the project. Each team member spoke and carried equal parts of the project presentation.	N/A	The team worked together relatively well. Some team members spoke more than others.	N/A	One team member dominated the presentation.	

<sup>\*</sup>Definition of Diction – Choice of words especially with regard to correctness, clearness, and effectiveness. 
\*\*Definition of Pronunciation – Act or manner of uttering officially

12/2019 5